

A Middle Palaeolithic wooden digging stick from Aranbaltza III, Spain

Joseba Rios-Garaizar, Oriol López-Bultó, Eneko Iriarte, Carlos Pérez-Garrido, Raquel Piqué, Arantza Aranburu, Maria José Iriarte-Chiapusso, Illuminada Ortega-Cordellat, Laurence Bourguignon, Diego Garate, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Joseba Rios-Garaizar, Oriol López-Bultó, Eneko Iriarte, Carlos Pérez-Garrido, Raquel Piqué, et al.. A Middle Palaeolithic wooden digging stick from Aranbaltza III, Spain. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13 (3), pp.e0195044. 10.1371/journal.pone.0195044 . hal-01766208

HAL Id: hal-01766208 https://inrap.hal.science/hal-01766208

Submitted on 13 Apr 2018 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Citation: Rios-Garaizar J, López-Bultó O, Iriarte E, Pérez-Garrido C, Piqué R, Aranburu A, et al. (2018) A Middle Palaeolithic wooden digging stick from Aranbaltza III, Spain. PLoS ONE 13(3): e0195044. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195044

Editor: Marco Peresani, Universita degli Studi di Ferrara, ITALY

Received: October 9, 2017

Accepted: March 15, 2018

Published: March 28, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Rios-Garaizar et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: The archeological work at Aranbaltza site is financed by the Diputación Foral de Bizkaia (2147/2013; 1602/2014; 2396/2015; 1518/2016; 1797/2017), the Basque Government (Gobierno Vasco: 2015/04424; 2014/03867). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. RESEARCH ARTICLE

A Middle Palaeolithic wooden digging stick from Aranbaltza III, Spain

Joseba Rios-Garaizar¹*, Oriol López-Bultó², Eneko Iriarte³, Carlos Pérez-Garrido⁴, Raquel Piqué², Arantza Aranburu⁵, María José Iriarte-Chiapusso^{6,7}, Illuminada Ortega-Cordellat⁸, Laurence Bourguignon⁸, Diego Garate⁹, Iñaki Libano¹⁰

 Centro Nacional de Investigación sobre la Evolución Humana (CENIEH), Burgos, Spain, 2 Department of Prehistory, Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, 3 Laboratorio de Evolución Humana, Universidad de Burgos, Burgos, Spain, 4 Departamento de Cristalografía y Mineralogía, Facultad de Geología, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain, 5 Departamento de Mineralogía y Petrología, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad del País Vasco/EHU, Leioa, Spain, 6 Departamento de Geografía, Prehistoria y Arqueología, Facultad de Letras, Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea UPV/EHU, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain, 7 IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain, 8 INRAP, UMR 7041 Arscan/AnTet, Campagne, France, 9 Ramón y Cajal Senior Grant, Instituto Internacional de Investigaciones Prehistóricas de Cantabria, Universidad de Cantabria, Gobierno de Cantabria, Santander, Spain, 10 Edestiaurre Arkeologia Elkartea, Barrika, Spain

* joseba.rios@cenieh.es

Abstract

Aranbaltza is an archaeological complex formed by at least three open-air sites. Between 2014 and 2015 a test excavation carried out in Aranbaltza III revealed the presence of a sand and clay sedimentary sequence formed in floodplain environments, within which six sedimentary units have been identified. This sequence was formed between 137–50 ka, and includes several archaeological horizons, attesting to the long-term presence of Neanderthal communities in this area. One of these horizons, corresponding with Unit 4, yielded two wooden tools. One of these tools is a beveled pointed tool that was shaped through a complex operational sequence involving branch shaping, bark peeling, twig removal, shaping, polishing, thermal exposition and chopping. A use-wear analysis of the tool shows it to have traces related with digging soil so it has been interpreted as representing a digging stick. This is the first time such a tool has been identified in a European Late Middle Palaeolithic context; it also represents one of the first well-preserved Middle Palaeolithic wooden tool found in southern Europe. This artefact represents one of the few examples available of wooden tool preservation for the European Palaeolithic, allowing us to further explore the role wooden technologies played in Neanderthal communities.

Introduction

The production and use of wooden tools in the European Late Lower-Early Middle Palaeolithic has been indirectly attested through use-wear analyses [1–4], but direct evidence is much more scarce, most likely due to preservational biases, and only a few sites above latitude 48 have yielded preserved wooden tools (Schöningen, Lehringen and Clacton) [5–8]. The site of Bad-Cannstatt, in Germany, has also yielded maple (*Acer campester*) fragments interpreted as tools, but theses remains were heavily altered and thus are difficult to interpret [9]. **Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

ONE

PLOS

Interestingly, most of these wooden tools have been interpreted as throwing and thrusting spears. This is the case for the Lehringen spears, for the Clacton spear fragment and for most of the tools recovered in Schöningen. Most of these tools were made on Taxus baccata (yew, Clacton and Lehringen) and Picea sp. (spruce, Schöningen), with some examples on Pinus sylvestris (pine, Schöningen). The technology required to produce these spears was quite complex: to begin with, a long and thin shaft was selected, the bark and the knots were removed and the point, usually placed away from the central axis of the trunk, was obtained through scraping and polishing, maybe aided by fire [10]. Some authors have argued that this kind of tool production represents a significant cognitive leap for hominids because the complexity involved in the process implies abstraction and in-depth planning capacities [11]. Others, on the other hand, have argued that the wooden tool-making process might have been far more simple than is currently thought [12]. Besides, other kinds of wooden tools have been also identified, but are less abundant, among them the pointed stick from Schöningen [6]. In southern Europe the only direct evidence of wooden tools predating modern human arrival are the wooden artefacts from Abric Romaní and the recently discovered sticks from Poggetti Vecchi. At Poggetti Vecchi more than 30 fragments interpreted as sticks have been recovered from a MIS7-6 open air context [13, 14]. At Abric Romaní putative tool functions have been inferred from the morphologies of the wooden artefacts, including objects interpreted as vessels or shovels [15–16. One single wooden pseudomorph from Abric Romaní level J has been interpreted as a possible digging stick or fragment of a stake [17].

Here we present a wooden pointed tool found at Aranbaltza III (Basque Country, northern Spain) dated to the early Late Pleistocene, which represents the oldest wooden tool from southern Europe, in this case associated with Neanderthals.

Archaeological setting

The site of Aranbaltza is located in the coast of Basque region, close to Bilbao. The site is situated in the bottom of a small valley that runs towards the Butron river-mouth. Although the current coastline is very close to the site (800 m NW) it is separated from the site by a raised cliff (90 m.a.s.l). The site was discovered in 2004 [18], close to the site of Ollagorta, where in 1959 J.M. Barandiaran excavated several test-pits in the front area of a sand quarry [19]. Since 2013 archaeological excavations at the Aranbaltza complex have identified three archaeological sites (Aranbaltza I, II and III) (Fig 1) with comparable archaeo-sedimentary sequences spanning from the Late Middle Pleistocene all the way to the Holocene.

At Aranbaltza III a $2m^2$ test pit was excavated between 2014 and 2015 (UTM 30N x: 502713.6, y: 4805178.6, z: 37). As part of this excavation, a total of six lithostratigraphic units and four sedimentary facies were defined (Fig 2) (S1 File). From top to bottom:

- Unit 0 represents modern reworked sediments.
- Unit 1 is a channel sandy infill with a basal lag where abundant Mousterian lithic remains were found (S2 File).
- Unit 2, which is archaeologically sterile, has been interpreted as representing an incised channel infill consisting of multiple sandy high density flowing events, extensively altered by edaphic processes (podzolization).
- Unit 3 is made up of bioturbated floodplain clays and, as the previous unit, is archaeologically sterile.
- Unit 4 is a thick sand deposit interpreted as representing a tractive sandy sediment sheet formed in a crevasse splay/channel; In this unit two wooden tools and a single flint tool (see



Fig 1. (top) location of the Aranbaltza archaeological complex; (bottom) position and extension of the different excavation areas. Raster data obtained from Eusko Jaurlaritza / Gobierno Vasco. GeoEuskadi and from the European Environment Agency. Rivers and bathymetry vectors obtained from Natural Earth. Map elaborated with QGIS 2.8 Wien and Inkscape 0.91.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195044.g001

PLOS ONE



Fig 2. Lithostratigraphic panel and synthetic stratigraphic column of the Aranbaltza III site. The locations of wooden remains, OSL, pollen and sedimentological samples are noted.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195044.g002

PLOS ONE

S2 File) were recovered. One of the wooden tools is the point described here (S5 File), the other one is a fragment of a pulled out branch that preserves part of the ripped joint with the parent trunk, having the distal end intentionally pointed (S6 File). Both tools were found in almost vertical position inside the sandy sediment, and both of them bear abrasion traces caused by sand in movement, as does the flint tool recovered with them. This suggests that the pieces were not *in situ* but reworked from stratigraphically older lateral deposits (probably Unit 5).

- Unit 5 consists of interbedded decimetre-scale layers of grey-to-blackish sandy organic muds (subunits 5a and 5c) and clayey sands (subunits 5b and 5d) deposited in a vegetated backswamp area where sandy sediments were deposited during flood events (crevasse channels or lobules); this unit is rich in lithic artefacts (S2 File) and unworked wood remains.
- Unit 6 is an incised channel infill corresponding to multiple sandy high-density flowing events, and it is archaeologically sterile.

Lithostratigraphical units 1, 2, 4 and 6 correspond to different types of fluvial channel infillings, the former and latter are probably laterally migrating shallow channels, while Unit 2 corresponds to an incised channel infill consisting of multiple sediment gravity flows. The lower lithostratigraphic units, (3, 4 and 5), are interpreted as representing overbank fine architectural elements, deposited in floodplain environments. The observed lithofacies were deposited in crevasse splay and backswamp environments. Units 2, 3, 4 and 6 have been dated by OSL (S3 File). Despite the problems of differential bleaching of quartz grains, the sequence can be dated confidently between ca. 137–50 ka. The Minimum Age Model for Unit 4 yielded an age of 70.0±8.4 ka.

The pollen analysis carried out for Unit 4 suggests a formation under relatively temperate and humid conditions. The dynamics of Gramineae, heathers and Compositae (main components of the herbaceous-shrub layer), alongside the diversity of hydrophyte and vascular aquatic plants (Ranunculaceae, Cyperaceae, Liliaceae, *Typha* and *Potagometon*) and bryophytes like *Sphagnum* suggest the presence of a waterlogged environment. Tree cover (circa 40%) is dominated by conifers (>70%) and mixed deciduous forest. Among the latter, *Taxus* pollen has been identified.

Methods

The wooden pointed tool recovered at Aranbaltza III, is analyzed here. A morphological description, an anatomic and taxonomic classification, a technological analysis and a use-wear analysis will be presented.

The piece became deformed as a result of the preservation procedures, including shrinking and bending. The original morphology of the piece was reconstructed using virtual restoration procedures comprising photos of the piece obtained at the moment of its discovery and the surface scans made taken with an Artec Spider scan (S4 File, S5 File). The morphological and metric description was made using this restored model and the actual piece, which, thanks to the preservation techniques employed, shows very well preserved surfaces.

The external morphology and the internal structure of the piece were analyzed in order to classify it anatomically. The internal structure analysis was carried out by means of a MicroCT scan of the piece. The sample was scanned using a 240 kV X-ray tube working at 50 kV and 100 μ A, producing 1200 radiographs at a 27 μ m resolution.

For the taxonomic classification, a small splint of wood was extracted from the surface that was damaged during excavation, and the identification was made through its microscopic analysis and comparison with a reference atlas [20].

For the technological analysis, the morphometric and anatomic features were considered (for example the position of the central pitch), and the technological wear observed on the surface was characterized and compared against already-available descriptions [6, 8] and experimentally-reproduced wooden tools [21]. The undertaking of a high power use-wear analysis was not possible due to preservation issues, but a low-power analysis was carried out in order to obtain direct insights on tool-function [21].

The wooden pointed tool

The point was recovered during the section cleaning of Unit 4 and, as a result, suffered some damage to one of its sides. The pointed tool was initially photographed and then stored in a watertight container alongside the original sediment in which it was found. The microscopic analysis of a small fragment recovered from the damaged area has allowed us to identify the tree species from which it was made: yew (*Taxus baccata*) (Fig 3). The presence of this species in the surroundings of the site was also identified in the pollen analysis. Although this species has rarely been identified in Pleistocene archaeological contexts [22], it was used to make the spears found at Clacton-on-Sea and Lehringen [5, 6]. In the Iberian Peninsula only a few yew charcoal remains have been identified for this period, at the Middle Palaeolithic site of Can Costella [23] (northeast Iberian Peninsula). Yew is highly appreciated in woodworking because its wood is hard, flexible and rot-resistant; it has been used traditionally to make spears and bows [24].

The original morphology of the piece was a straight stick 151.7 mm in length and 28.6 mm in width, with an irregular or slightly oval transversal section, with a pointed distal end (rounded U-shape) and a beveled proximal part (Fig 4). One third of the surface corresponds to the sub-cortical part of a big branch, with small twig knots on it. The growing direction of the twigs is towards the pointed end indicating that the beveled end was the closest to the roots. The internal structure of the point, more precisely the growing rings, reveals that towards the base the centre of the branch is located close to the lateral surface, while the point is placed far away from the central axis of the branch (Fig 5). Half of the diameter of branch is preserved at the base, while only a fraction of it is preserved in the point area. The piece does not show bark or inner bark.

The surface shows little technological evidence of chopping and shaping (Fig 6). Only two small cut-marks, corresponding with the latest phases of shaping, have been noted on the surface. The twig knots do not show any wear linked to trimming using a cutting edge, thus we could interpret these as having simply been pulled off (Fig 6B). The surface is polished (Fig 6D), which could probably explain the absence of other manufacture traces; nevertheless we were not able to rule out the possibility that this polish was the result of an alteration caused by the contact with the sandy sediments of Unit 4. Also, the surface shows important colouration changes, with reddish and blackish tones (Fig 6C), suggesting that the point underwent a thermal alteration, maybe as a result of its hardening and/or shaping through the use of fire [10, 14]. The bevel on the proximal end reveals a rough surface, with exposed fibres and two



Fig 3. Tree species identification. a) CT scan image of the transversal cross-section; the distinct growth rings of a coniferous wood can be seen. b) Longitudinal-tangential cross-section, rays between 6 and 11 cells high can be distinguished. c) Longitudinal-radial cross-section, spiral thickenings on the tracheid walls can be observed.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195044.g003



Fig 4. The wooden pointed tool. a) Photograph showing the pointed tool immediately following its recovery. b) Current appearance of the point fragment following preservation efforts.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195044.g004

different planes, suggesting that it was chopped by means of two strokes (Fig 6E and 6F). The surface of the bevel does not show traces of polishing. These differences in the surface could suggest that the bevel was shaped after the point was finished and used. This would mean that



Fig 5. Selected MicroCT slices. The red dots indicate the actual or estimated position of the centre of the branch.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195044.g005

this is a recycled tool fragment, but we cannot rule out that this simply represents a different technological treatment of different parts of the same tool.



Fig 6. Technological and use wear features. a) Detail of the smashed fibres in the point 1: Aranbaltza pointed stick, 2: experimental pointed stick with smashed fibres on its pointed end [21]; b) detail of the pulled-off twig knot; c) detail of the thermal alteration 4: red colouration, 5: black colouration; d) microscopic detail of the polished surface; e) detail of the beveled end with the two plans corresponding to the two chopping strokes; f) small cut mark on the wood surface.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195044.g006

PLOS ONE

Finally, the point shows that the smashed fibres on its end were caused by some kind of repetitive mechanical stress only affecting that particular area (Fig 6A1). This kind of wear has been identified on Neolithic wooden pointed digging sticks at the site of La Draga [18], and the experimental replicas of these digging sticks demonstrate that this kind of use-wear is produced when removing medium-to-hard soil (Fig 6A2). Similar use-wear has also been recorded on the wooden tools identified as digging sticks at the site of Border Cave (South Africa) [25]. The Aranbaltza point tip also has a rounded (U-shaped) morphology, while the spear points recovered from Middle Pleistocene sites in Northern Europe have sharper ('pointed') tips. Thus, the morphology and the use-wear of the Aranbaltza point suggests that it was used as a digging stick.

Although the preservation of the piece does not allow for a precise description of the operational sequence to be put forward, we are able to identify a combination of operations, including branch shaping, bark peeling, twig removal, shaping, (probable) polishing, thermal exposition and chopping. The available evidence suggests that, as was the case with the Schöningen and Lehringen spears [6, 8], a whole branch or a thin trunk was used and the point end was placed away from the central axis. Evidence of use has also been identified suggesting that this artefact was used in a mechanical activity, like digging. Due to the short length of the point the idea that the preserved point is a recycled fragment of a bigger tool cannot be ruled out.

Discussion

The finding of wooden artefacts in Pleistocene archaeological sites in Europe is exceptionally rare. Up to now few well-preserved artefacts have been recovered from Middle Pleistocene deposits in Northern Europe, and few more from Upper Pleistocene sites [5-8, 14-16]. The preservation in Aranbaltza III was favoured by the formation of a waterlogged reducing depositional environment due to the rapid sedimentation of organic-rich sands and clays in a floodplain swampy area. The wooden point fragment was found in a sandy and slightly tractive deposit (Unit 4), and was probably reworked from nearby exposed underlying sediments from Unit 5, where favorable conditions for wood preservation and the presence of wooden remains have been confirmed. The age of these deposits ranges between 58–137 ka, this apparently age incertitude can be explained by the insufficient bleaching of quartz sand grains due to the nature of their sedimentary processes, which implied quick erosion and deposition at a short distance from the original sediment. The age obtained from the sandy sediments contemporaneous with or younger than Unit 5 in another sector of Aranbaltza III was ca. 90 ka, which could be interpreted as the most probable age for the pointed tool. The lithic assemblages recovered from Units 4 and 5, albeit scarce, point clearly to the Middle Palaeolithic with Discoid technology. Middle Palaeolithic occupations in the region are known since MIS7-6. Several sites have occupations dated to the MIS5-4 interval, with Arlanpe, Lezetxiki or Askondo as the most relevant [26-28]. The Early and Late Middle Palaeolithic in the region are characterized by great behavioural variability; the long-distance transport of lithic raw materials [29], the trend towards microlithization [30], the use of complex hunting technologies [31], the fire control and use [32], use of bone tools [33, 34], a certain degree of prey specialization [35] or the exploitation of marine resources [36] being especially remarkable. We should now add elaborate wooden technology to this behavioural complexity, drawing a picture of welladapted and flexible Neanderthal populations in the region.

The oldest wooden tools, recovered at Schöningen and Clacton, are associated with Lower Palaeolithic industries, and reveal an early use of wooden artefacts for hunting and other activities [5–7]. The wooden sticks from Poggetti Vecchi have been dated to MIS7-6, and thus can be also associated to Neanderthals. The function of these objects, inferred from their

morphologies, has been interpreted as digging sticks [14]. The spear from Lehringen was recovered in a sedimentary deposit dated to ca. 125.000 BP, and thus, is associated with Neanderthals [8]. This tool has been interpreted as a thrusting spear, similar to the spear VI from Schöningen, while other spears from Schöningen have been interpreted as throwing spears [6]. At Abric Romaní several wooden pseudomorphs were reported in levels H, I, Ksup and M, dated to the Late Middle Palaeolithic [15–16, 37].

The few available direct and indirect lines of evidence suggest that wood played a significant role in Neanderthal technological adaptations. Wood provides enough plasticity to shape a varied array of tools that are impossible to obtain through the use of stones, and very difficult to obtain with bones, which have constrained sizes and are more difficult to work. The use of bone technology by Neanderthals has been widely demonstrated, but the extent of activities identified is, up to now, very limited and linked to domestic activities (polishers, chisels, retouchers) [33–34, 38–43]. Wood was surely used for manufacturing hunting weapons and as fuel [37, 44]. Other functions, as containers, hammers, or shelter construction materials, should not be ruled out even if the evidence is very scarce or completely absent [15, 45]. Different stone-tool types that have woodworking-related use-wear or tools that must have necessarily been used with a wooden haft (e.g. stone spear-points) are indirect evidence of wood use in the past [30, 46–52].

This paper has presented a new Middle Paleolithic wooden tool. The shape of this tool and the evidence of use suggest its function as digging instrument. Digging stick is a common tool in hunter gatherer societies, being root digging one of the main functions [53-56], although other uses as loosening bark or clam-digging have been also recorded.

The variability in shape and dimensions in archaeological and ethnographical digging sticks is enormous. According to Oswalt [55] digging-sticks are multi purpose tools and probably this explains the high morphological variability of ethnographic digging sticks. For example, the measures of ethnographic materials from Australian collections recorded by Nugent ranges between 420x30 mm to 1684x33 mm [57]. Similar variability has been recorded in ethnographic databases [58–59].

The length of Aranbaltza point is short compared with most of ethnographic digging sticks recorded, but it's not rare. Different examples of short (less than 30 cm length) digging sticks can be found in ethnography [58, 60–61] as well as in archaeology [25, 62]. Besides, the Aranbaltza point displays cutting marks at the opposite end of the tip, showing the possibility of having been shortened. For this reason it can't be discarded the possibility that the original length of this point was longer. Other wooden tools from Middle Paleolithic sites have been also interpreted as wooden sticks. A pointed wooden pseudomorph from Abric Romani's level J (ca. 50 kyr) has been interpreted, based on its morphology, as a massive digging stick, or more probably as the end of a post or a stake, but no direct use-wear evidence is available [17]. Also, the tool fragments from Poggetti Vecchi have been interpreted from its morphology as digging sticks [14].

Digging soil can be done for different reasons, for finding edible USOs (Underground Storage Organs- tubers and roots) or animals; for extracting lithic raw materials or for making negative structures (i.e. pot holes or sepultures). In the archaeological record there is almost no empirical evidence to support this kind of activities, which have been inferred through indirect evidence. For example, the consumption of USOs by Neanderthals in Europe has been suggested through direct analysis of dental calculus or fecal remains [63–65]. In the surroundings of Aranbaltza different edible USOs would have been available given their known distributions in different climatic scenarios [66]. Furthermore, the pollen analysis from Unit 4 revealed the presence of cattails (Typha), indicating that plants with edible USOs could be found close to the site. On the other hand, there is little evidence of underground animal gathering through digging [67], but the presence of hare and rabbit has been documented in contemporary sites like Axlor, Lezetxiki, Covalejos or Atxagakoa [68–70]. Also, shellfish gathering has been proposed as a possible function for digging sticks. Although no direct evidence is available at the site, in the nearby site of El Cuco, dated back ca. 44 ka BP [36] unquestionable evidence of limpet consume has been recorded. Regarding raw material collection, the presence of flint from primary sources has been attested in different levels of Aranbaltza III, and also in the Middle Palaeolithic levels from Aranbaltza I. Flysch flint appears in different contexts close to the site (<500 m). Interestingly, the best quality flint is nowadays present in a muddy olitostrome [71] and some digging was probably needed to extract the nodules. Finally, Neanderthals are known to have dug simple structures into the soil to build shelters [45] or to bury corpses [72]. In Aranbaltza I, remnants of stone structures (pavements, fireplaces and windshields) built by Neanderthals have been found in association with abundant lithic remains probably contemporaneous with Unit 1 from Aranbaltza III. For this kind of construction to take place a certain degree of digging must have been needed.

Conclusion

A well-preserved Middle Palaeolithic wooden tool has been recovered in southern Europe. The analysis of the technological features and the use-wear of the artefact have revealed that it was shaped from a yew trunk through a complex operational sequence to create a pointed tool that was used as a digging stick. This one of the first evidence of such a tool in a Late European Middle Palaeolithic context and its possible functions have been explored, including its use in the procurement of USOs, burrowing animals, and/or lithic raw materials; or to dig features in the soil. This is one of the rarer examples in which we are able to delve directly into Palaeolithic wooden technology thanks to the particular and exceptional preservation conditions of this piece. This artefact highlights the relevance that wooden technology must have had for Neanderthal communities, a relevance that has been perceived almost always through indirect sources of evidence.

Supporting information

S1 File. Stratigraphy and sedimentology of Aranbaltza III sequence. (PDF)

S2 File. Lithic assemblages from Aranbaltza III sequence. (PDF)

S3 File. OSL dating. (PDF)

S4 File. Virtual reconstruction of the pointed tool (right) obtained from 3D model of the piece at its current condition (left). (TIFF)

S5 File. **3D** reconstruction of the wooden pointed tool (.obj file with texture). (ZIP)

S6 File. **3D** reconstruction of the other wooden tool from U4 (.obj file with texture). (ZIP)

Acknowledgments

The archeological work at Aranbaltza site is funded by the Diputación Foral de Bizkaia (2013–2017) and the Basque Government (2014, 2015). The project was also helped by the Barrika Town Council and Harpea Kultur Elkartea. The site of Aranbaltza is located in the private

property of the "Club Hípico Barrika" and during the fieldwork we always had the disinterested help of the owner, Damián Libano. The Microscopy Laboratory technicians Belén Notario Collado and Pablo Pardo (CENIEH) helped through the CT scan and the microscopic analysis of the piece. Asier Gómez-Olivencia (IKERBASQUE) helped with the CT scan image processing. Pablo Aparicio made the virtual restoration of the piece. The restoration technician, Pilar Fernandez (CENIEH) preserved the piece in its current condition. Patricia Bello (CENIEH) was helpful providing tuber processing references. We want to thank also Aranbaltza fieldwork volunteers, especially Daniel Ruiz and Fazia Bouchoud who found the point, and the Arkeologi Museoa of Bilbao.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Joseba Rios-Garaizar, Oriol López-Bultó, Illuminada Ortega-Cordellat.

- Formal analysis: Joseba Rios-Garaizar, Oriol López-Bultó, Eneko Iriarte, Carlos Pérez-Garrido, Raquel Piqué, Arantza Aranburu, María José Iriarte-Chiapusso.
- **Funding acquisition:** Joseba Rios-Garaizar, Illuminada Ortega-Cordellat, Diego Garate, Iñaki Libano.
- Investigation: Joseba Rios-Garaizar, Oriol López-Bultó, Eneko Iriarte, Carlos Pérez-Garrido, Illuminada Ortega-Cordellat, Laurence Bourguignon.
- Methodology: Joseba Rios-Garaizar, Oriol López-Bultó, Eneko Iriarte, Carlos Pérez-Garrido, Raquel Piqué, Arantza Aranburu, María José Iriarte-Chiapusso.
- Project administration: Joseba Rios-Garaizar, Diego Garate.

Supervision: Joseba Rios-Garaizar, Iñaki Libano.

Writing – original draft: Joseba Rios-Garaizar, Oriol López-Bultó, Eneko Iriarte, Carlos Pérez-Garrido, Raquel Piqué, Arantza Aranburu, María José Iriarte-Chiapusso, Illuminada Ortega-Cordellat, Laurence Bourguignon, Diego Garate, Iñaki Libano.

References

- Anderson-Gerfaud, P., 1990. Aspects of Behaviour in the Middle Paleolithic: Functional Analysis of Stone Tools from Southwestern France, in: Mellars, P. (Ed.), The Emergence of Modern Humans: An Archaeological Perspective. Edinburgh, pp. 389–418.
- Beyries, S., 1987. Variabilité de l'industrie lithique au Moustérien. Approche fonctionnelle sur quelques gisements français. BAR international series 328. British Archaeological Reports, Oxford.
- 3. Claud É., Thiébaut C., Coudenneau A., Deschamps M., Mourre V., Cologne D., 2013. Le travail du bois au Paléolithique moyen: nouvelles données issues de l'étude tracéologique de plusieurs industries lithiques d'Europe occidentale. In: Anderson P.C., Cheval C., Duran A. (Eds.), Regards Croisés Sur Les Outils Liés Au Travail Des Végétaux. An Interdisciplinary Focus on Plant-Working Tools. XXXIIIe Rencontres Internationales D'archéologie et D'histoire d'Antibes. Éditions APDCA, Antibes, pp. 367–381.
- Rots V., 2013. Insights into early Middle Palaeolithic tool use and hafting in Western Europe. The functional analysis of level IIa of the early Middle Palaeolithic site of Biache-Saint-Vaast (France). Journal of Archaeological Science 40, 497–506. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAS.2012.06.042
- Oakley K., Andrews P., Keeley L., Clark J., 1977. A reappraisal of the Clacton spearpoint. Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society 43, 13–30.
- Schoch W.H., Bigga G., Böhner U., Richter P., Terberger T., 2015. New insights on the wooden weapons from the Paleolithic site of Schöningen. Journal of Human Evolution 89, 214–225. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jhevol.2015.08.004</u> PMID: 26442632
- Thieme H., 1997. Lower Palaeolithic hunting spears from Germany. Nature 385, 807–810. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/385807a0 PMID: 9039910</u>
- Thieme H., Veil S., 1985. Neue Untersuchungen zum eemzeitlichen Elefanten-Jagdplatz Lehringen. Die Kunde 36, 11–58.

- 9. Wagner, E., 1995. Cannstatt I: Grosswildjäger im Travertingebiet. Konrad Theiss Verlag, Stuttgart.
- 10. Ennos A.R., Chan T.L., 2016. "Fire hardening" spear wood does slightly harden it, but makes it much weaker and more brittle. Biology Letters 12.
- Haidle M.N., 2009. How to think a simple spear?, in: de Beaune S.A., Coolidge F.L., Wynn T. (Eds.), Cognitive Archaeology and Human Evolution. Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 57–73.
- Garofoli D., 2015. A Radical Embodied Approach to Lower Palaeolithic Spear-making. Journal of Mind and Behavior 36, 1–26.
- Benvenuti M., Bahain J.-J., Capalbo C., Capretti C., Ciani F., D'Amico C. et al., 2017. Paleoenvironmental context of the early Neanderthals of Poggetti Vecchi for the late middle Pleistocene of Central Italy. Quaternary Research 88, 327–344. https://doi.org/10.1017/qua.2017.51
- Aranguren B., Revedin A., Amico N., Cavulli F., Giachi G., Grimaldi S. et al., 2018. Wooden tools and fire technology in the early Neanderthal site of Poggetti Vecchi (Italy). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1716068115 PMID: 29432163
- Carbonell E., Castro-Curel Z., 1992. Palaeolithic Wooden Artifacts from The Abric Romaní (Capellades, Barcelona, Spain). Journal of Archaeological Science 19, 707–719.
- Castro-Curel Z., Carbonell E., 1995. Wood Pseudomorphs From Level I at Abric Romani, Barcelona, Spain. Journal of Field Archaeology 22, 376–384. https://doi.org/10.1179/009346995791974206
- Allué E., Cabanes D., Solé A., Sala R., 2012. Hearth Functioning and Forest Resource Exploitation Based on the Archeobotanical Assemblage from Level J, in: i Roura E. (Ed.), High Resolution Archaeology and Neanderthal Behavior: Time and Space in Level J of Abric Romaní (Capellades, Spain). Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 373–385. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-3922-2_9
- Ríos Garaizar J., Libano Silvente I., Garate Maidagan D., 2012. El yacimiento chatelperroniense al aire libre de Aranbaltza (Barrika, Euskadi). Munibe (Antropologia-Arkeologia) 63, 81–92.
- Barandiarán Ayerbe J.M., Aguirre A., Grande M., 1960. Estación de Kurtzia (Barrica-Sopelana), Servicio de Investigaciones Arqueológicas de la Excma. Diputación Provincial de Vizcaya. Bilbao.
- 20. Schweingruber F.H., 1978. Mikroskopische Holzanatomie. Zürcher A. G. Zug.
- López O., 2015. Processos d'obtenció, transformació i ús de la fusta en l'assentament neolític antic de la Draga (5320–4800 cal BC). Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.
- 22. Carrión J.S., Scott L., Arribas A., Fuentes N., Gil-Romera G., Montoya E., 2007. Pleistocene landscapes in central lberia inferred from pollen analysis of hyena coprolites. Journal of Quaternary Science 22, 191–202. https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.1024
- 23. Bonet Avalos, A., Piqué Huerta, R., 1995. Dinámica paleoambiental y paleoclimática de la cuenca del Mediona-Riudevitlles (Alt Penedès, Barcelona): Anàlisis antracológico y malacológico de los yacimientos de Can Costella y Mediona I. In: Aleixandre Campos, T., Pérez-González, A., Reconstrucción Paleoambiental y Cambios Climáticos durante el Cuaternario, 1995, pp. 363–374
- Uzquiano P., Allué E., Antolín F., Burjachs F., Picornel L., Piqué R., Zapata L., 2015. All about yew: on the trail of Taxus baccata in southwest Europe by means of integrated palaeobotanical and archaeobotanical studies. Vegetation History and Archaeobotany 24, 229–247. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00334-014-0475-x
- d'Errico F., Backwell L., Villa P., Degano I., Lucejko J.J., Bamford M.K. et al., 2012. Early evidence of San material culture represented by organic artifacts from Border Cave, South Africa. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 109, 13214–9. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.1204213109 PMID: 22847420</u>
- Álvarez Alonso D., Arrizabalaga Á., 2012. La secuencia estratigráfica inferior de la cueva de Lezetxiki (Arrasate, País Vasco). Una reflexión necesaria. Zephyrus 69, 15–29.
- Rios-Garaizar J., Garate Maidagan D., 2012. Dataciones de radiocarbóno en el yacimiento de Askondo (Mañaria, Bizkaia), in: Garate Maidagan D., Rios-Garaizar J. (Eds.), La Cueva de Askondo (Mañaria, Bizkaia). Arte Parietal Y Ocupación Humana Durante La Prehistoria. Kobie (Serie BAI), 2. Diputación Foral de Bizkaia, Bilbao, pp. 39–44.
- Rios-Garaizar J., Garate Maidagan D., Gómez-Olivencia A., Iriarte E., Arceredillo-Alonso D., Iriarte-Chiapusso M.J., et al. 2015. Short-term Neandertal occupations in the late Middle Pleistocene of Arlanpe (Lemoa, northern Iberian Peninsula). Comptes Rendus—Palevol 14, 233–244. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.crpv.2014.11.006
- Rios-Garaizar J., 2017. A new chronological and technological synthesis for Late Middle Paleolithic of the Eastern Cantabrian Region. Quaternary International 433, 50–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint. 2016.02.020
- Rios-Garaizar J., Eixea A., Villaverde V., 2015. Ramification of lithic production and the search of small tools in Iberian Peninsula Middle Paleolithic. Quaternary International 361, 188–199. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.quaint.2014.07.025</u>

- Rios-Garaizar J., 2016. Experimental and Archeological Observations of Northern Iberian Peninsula Middle Paleolithic Mousterian Point Assemblages. Testing the Potential Use of Throwing Spears Among Neanderthals, in: Iovita R., Sano K. (Eds.), Multidisciplinary Approaches to the Study of Stone Age Weaponry. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 213–225. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-7602-8_15
- Rios-Garaizar J., González Urquijo J.E., Ibáñez Estévez J.J., 2003. La excavación en Axlor. Las formas de vida de los últimos neandertales. Boletín de la SEDECK 5, 62–83.
- Mozota Holgueras M., 2009. El utillaje óseo musteriense del nivel "D" de Axlor (Dima, Vizcaya): análisis de la cadena operativa. Trabajos de Prehistoria 66, 28–46. https://doi.org/10.3989/tp.2009.09011
- 34. Mozota Holgueras M., 2007. Industrias óseas musterienses en el Cantábrico oriental: los "Alisadores" en hueso de los niveles B, C y D de Axlor (Dima, Bizkaia). Cuadernos de arqueología de la Universidad de Navarra 15, 31–42.
- 35. Rios-Garaizar J., García-Moreno A., 2015. Middle Paleolithic Mobility Patterns and Settlement System Variability in the Eastern Cantabrian Region (Iberian Peninsula): A GIS-Based Resource Patching Model, in: Conard N.J., Delagnes A. (Eds.), Settlement Dynamics of the Middle Paleolithic and Middle Stone Age Vol 4. Kerns Verlag, Tübingen, pp. 329–360.
- 36. Gutiérrez-Zugasti I., Rios-Garaizar J., Marín-Arroyo A.B., Rasines del Río P., Maroto J., Jones J.R., et al. 2017. A chrono-cultural reassessment of the levels VI–XIV from El Cuco rock-shelter: A new sequence for the Late Middle Paleolithic in the Cantabrian region (northern Iberia). Quaternary International.
- Allué, E. 2002. Dinámica de la vegetación y explotación del combustible leñoso durante el Pleistoceno Superior y el Holoceno del Noreste de la Peninsula Iberica a partir del análisis antracologico. Tesis doctoral, Universitat Rovira i Virgili.
- Soressi M., McPherron S.P., Lenoir M., Dogandžić T., Goldberg P., Jacobs Z., et al. 2013. Neandertals made the first specialized bone tools in Europe. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110, 14186–14190. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1302730110 PMID: 23940333
- Gaudzinski S., 1999. Middle Palaeolithic Bone Tools from the Open-Air Site Salzgitter-Lebenstedt (Germany). Journal of Archaeological Science 26, 125–141. https://doi.org/10.1006/JASC.1998.0311
- Jéquier C.A., Romandini M., Peresani M., 2012. Les retouchoirs en matières dures animales: une comparaison entre Moustérien final et Uluzzien. Comptes Rendus Palevol 11, 283–292. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CRPV.2011.12.001</u>
- **41.** Mozota Holgueras M., 2014. Los útiles óseos "poco elaborados" en el Paleolítico inferior y medio y su continuidad en el Paleolítico superior. Una revisión historiográfica. Complutum 25, 17–33.
- Mallye J.-B., Thiébaut C., Mourre V., Costamagno S., Claud É., Weisbecker P., 2012. The Mousterian bone retouchers of Noisetier Cave: experimentation and identification of marks. Journal of Archaeological Science 39, 1131–1142. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAS.2011.12.018
- Blasco R., Rosell J., Cuartero F., Fernández Peris J., Gopher A., Barkai R., 2013. Using Bones to Shape Stones: MIS 9 Bone Retouchers at Both Edges of the Mediterranean Sea. PLoS ONE 8, e76780. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0076780 PMID: 24146928
- 44. Badal, E.; Villaverde Bonilla, V.; Zilhao, J. 2011. The fire of Iberian Neanderthals. Wood charcoal from three new Mousterian sites in the Iberian Peninsula. Saguntum Extra; Vol 11 (2011): 5th International Meeting of Charcoal Analysis: Charcoal as Cultural and Biological Heritage, 77–78.
- 45. Bourguignon L., Sellami F., Deloze V., Sellier-Segard N., Beyries S., Emery-Barbier E., 2002. L'Habitat Moustérien de La Folie (Poiters- Vienne): Synthèse des premiers resultats. Paléo 14, 29–48.
- **46.** Rios-Garaizar J., 2012. Industria lítica y sociedad en la Transición del Paleolítico Medio al Superior en torno al Golfo de Bizkaia. PUbliCan—Ediciones de la Universidad de Cantabria, Santander.
- Lazuén T., González-Urquijo J., 2015. Recycling in the Early Middle Paleolithic: The role of resharpening flakes assessed through techno-functional analysis. Quaternary International 361, 229–237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2014.04.008
- 48. Grünberg J.M., 2002. Middle Palaeolithic birch-bark pitch. Antiquity 76, 15–16.
- Mazza P.P.A., Martini F., Sala B., Magi M., Colombini M.P., Giachi G. et al., 2006. A new Palaeolithic discovery: tar-hafted stone tools in a European Mid-Pleistocene bone-bearing bed. Journal of Archaeological Science 33, 1310–1318.
- Rots V., 2009. The functional analysis of the Mousterian and Micoquian assemblages of Sesselfelsgrotte, Germany: Aspects of tool use and hafting in the European Late Middle Palaeolithic. Quartär 56, 37–66.
- Pawlik A., Thissen J., 2011. Hafted armatures and multi-component tool design at the Micoquian site of Inden-Altdorf, Germany. Journal of Archaelogical Science 38, 1699–1708.1

- Cârciumaru M., Ion R.-M., Niţu E.-C., Ştefănescu R., 2012. New evidence of adhesive as hafting material on Middle and Upper Palaeolithic artefacts from Gura Cheii-Râşnov Cave (Romania). Journal of Archaeological Science 39, 1942–1950. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAS.2012.02.016
- 53. Wood JG. 1868. The Natural History of Man: Being an Account of the Manners and Customs of the Uncivilized Races of Men: Africa. Routledge, London
- 54. Wood JG. 1870. The Natural History of Man: Being an Account of the Manners and Customs of the Uncivilized Races of Men: Australia, New Zealand, Polynesia, America, Asia and ancient Europe. Routledge, London.
- 55. Oswalt W.H. 1976. An anthropological analysis of food-getting technology. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York.
- 56. Tuner N.J., 2014. Ancient Pathways, Ancestral Knowledge: Ethnobotany and Ecological Wisdom of Indigenous Peoples of Northwestern North America. Volume 1: The History and Practice of Indigenous Plant Knowledge. McGill-Queen's University Press, Montreal.
- Nugent S.J., 2006. Applying use-wear and residue analyses to digging sticks. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum, Culture 4, 89–105.
- Phoebe Hearst Museum of Anthropology; 2018. [cited 2018 Feb 16]. Database: 1–21304. Available from: <u>https://webapps.cspace.berkeley.edu/pahma/objects/1-21304</u>.
- 59. British Museum Collection Database; 2018 [cited 2018 Feb 15]. Database: Oc1839,0620.33, Oc1839,0620.34, Oc1960,11.58, Oc1888,0207.4, As1972,Q.1800, Oc1848,0821.2,Af1970,12.56, Af1970,12.57, Oc1839,0620.32, Oc1947,07.14, Oc1947,07.13, Af1937,1130.4, As1958,06.202, As1972,01.12, Oc1888,0207.4, Af1935,1108.32. Available from: www.britishmuseum.org/collection
- 60. Teit J.A., 1909. The Shuswap. The Jesup North Pacific expedition. Memoir of the American Museum of Natural History, Volume II, Part VII. E.J. Brill, Leiden.
- **61.** Heider K.G., 1970. The Dugum Dani, a Papuan culture in the highlands of West New Guinea. Aldine Publishing Company, Chicago.
- Bosch A., Chinchilla J., Tarrús J., Piqué R., 2006. Els objectes de fusta i fibres vegetals, in: Bosch A., Chinchilla J., Tarrús J. (eds), Els objectes de fusta del poblat neolític de La Draga. Excavacions 1995– 2005. MAC-CASC, Barcelona, pp.27–126.
- Hardy K., Buckley S., Collins M., Estalrrich A., Brothwell D., Copeland L., et al., 2012. Neanderthal medics? Evidence for food, cooking, and medicinal plants entrapped in dental calculus. Naturwissenschaften 99, 617–626. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-012-0942-0 PMID: 22806252
- Henry A.G., Brooks A.S., Piperno D.R., 2011. Microfossils in calculus demonstrate consumption of plants and cooked foods in Neanderthal diets (Shanidar III, Iraq; Spy I and II, Belgium). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 108, 486–491. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.1016868108</u> PMID: 21187393
- Sistiaga A., Mallol C., Galván B., Summons R.E., Dutta P., 2014. The Neanderthal Meal: A New Perspective Using Faecal Biomarkers. PLoS ONE 9, e101045. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.</u> 0101045 PMID: 24963925
- Hardy B.L., 2010. Climatic variability and plant food distribution in Pleistocene Europe: Implications for Neanderthal diet and subsistence. Quaternary Science Reviews 29, 662–679. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.guascirev.2009.11.016
- Backwell L.R., d'Errico F., 2001. From the Cover: Evidence of termite foraging by Swartkrans early hominids. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 98, 1358–1363. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.021551598</u> PMID: <u>11171955</u>
- Altuna Etxabe J., 1972. Fauna de mamíferos de los yacimientos prehistóricos de Guipúzcoa, Munibe. Munibe, San Sebastián.
- Altuna J., 1989. La subsistance d'origine animal pendant le Moustérien dans la région Cantabrique (Espagne), in: Pathou M., Freeman L.G. (Eds.), L'Homme de Neandertal. La Subsistance. Actes Du Colloque International de Liège. Volume 6. ERAUL, Liège, pp. 41–43.
- 70. Castaños Ugarte P., 2005. Revisión actualizada de las faunas de macromamíferos del Würm antiguo en la Región Cantábrica, in: Montes Barquín R., Lasheras Corruchaga J.A. (Eds.), Actas de La Reunión Científica: Neandertales Cantábricos. Estado de La Cuestión. Ministerio de Cultura, Madrid, pp. 201– 207.
- 71. Tarriño Vinagre A., 2006. El sílex en la cuenca Vasco-Cantábrica y Pirineo Navarro, Monografias del Mueso Nacional y Centro de Investigación de Altamira. Ministerio de Cultura, Madrid.
- Rendu W., Beauval C., Crevecoeur I., Bayle P., Balzeau A., Bismuth T., et al., 2014. Evidence supporting an intentional Neandertal burial at La Chapelle-aux-Saints. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 111, 81–86. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1316780110 PMID: 24344286

S1. Stratigraphy and sedimentology of the Aranbaltza III sequence

The observation and description of the sedimentary sequence were carried out on a $1 \times 1 \times 3$ m archaeological excavation pit. Different lithostratigraphic units were differentiated based on their differing sedimentary facies (Miall, 1996). Within the sediments, it was possible to define a number of architectural elements given their distinct facies assemblage, internal geometry and external form. These architectural elements are here considered with caution since the identification of large scale geometries generally requires better exposure and more data than the analysis of traditional lithofacies

Stratigraphy and sedimentology

Six lithostratigraphic units and four sedimentary facies were defined in the Aranbaltza III sequence:

Unit 0: This surficial unit is composed of mixed angular clay and sandy sediment fragments. The sediments identified in the clasts derive from underlying stratigraphic units. Unit 0 represents an accumulation of reworked sediments as a result of different anthropic excavation activities related to the exploitation of an ancient sand quarry.

Unit 1: is made up of *ca*. 50 cm-thick grey quartz sand layer with mainly scattered medium-sized chert gravels (< 10 cm) at its base. The most common facies in this unit is a massive medium-to-coarse sand, sometimes pebbly with a *Gh* type clast supported and crudely bedded by gravels at the base of the unit - *Ss* facies (Miall, 1996). No sedimentary structures are visible. The mineralogical composition of the sediments shows its main components are quartz grains (71%) and feldspars (20%), with the presence of a minor clay mineral fraction noted in the matrix (9%) (S1 Table). The basal contact is nearly horizontal, but also irregular and erosive, defining broad shallow (< 20 cm) scours in the underlying sediments from Unit 2. Unit 1 is interpreted as probably representing a laterally migrating shallow channel infill with a basal lag.

Unit 2: this unit comprises medium-to-coarse, massive-to-faintly-laminated quartz sand - Sm facies (Miall, 1996). No sedimentary structures are visible, but the sand lithosome embeds decimetre-scale mud intraclasts derived from the underlying clay unit (Unit 3). Its thickness is highly variable laterally, from 1 m to 10 cm. Orange and black stained areas are present near the basal contacts and around the muddy intraclasts linked to the podsolization processes. The mineralogical analysis of the sand shows it to be composed of monomictic quartz (100%). The basal contact is highly erosive giving rise to a one-metre deep erosive channel that has been nicely preserved in the clayey sediments. Unit 2 is thought to be an incised channel fill consisting of multiple sandy high-density flowing events. It is worth noting the post-

sedimentary edaphic activity, orange and black staining, and the absence, probably related to the meteorization, of other mineral components (e.g. feldspars) found within this units, which suggests a high degree of lixiviation and illuviation (podsolization).

Unit 3: this unit comprises massive green-to-bluish-grey clays - Fsm to Fr facies (Miall, 1996). Horizontal lamination and scarce orange and black mottles, vegetal organic matter fragments and possibly root remains, are all visible in this unit. Centimetre-scale sandy layers are present towards the base of the unit, forming an irregular but quite horizontal unit bottom. Unit 3 represents the deposition of bioturbated backswamp clays on a floodplain area adjacent to a sand-rich fluvial channel.

Unit 4: this unit is made up of medium massive quartz sand - *Sm* facies (Miall, 1996). It is *ca.* 30 cm thick. Orange and black stained areas are present near the contacts linked to podsolization processes. The mineralogical study of the sands shows them to, be monomictic and 100% composed of quartz. The basal contact is irregular but quite horizontal. Unit 4 is interpreted as representing a tractive sandy sediment sheet. The broad and shallow geometry of the lithosome and its stratigraphic position between a number of floodplain deposits could be suggesting that these sediments originated from a crevasse splay/channel. It is worth mentioning the presence of post-sedimentary orange and black staining and the absence, probably related to meteorization, of other mineral components (e.g. feldspars) suggesting its podsolization.

Unit 5: this unit consists of interbedded decimetre-scale layers of grey-to-blackish sandy organic muds (subunits 5a and 5c) and clayey sands (subunits 5b and 5d) - Fsm and SS facies (Miall, 1996). Both clay and sandy units contain abundant centimetre-to-decimetre-scale plant remains. The sandy 5b and 5d units pinch out laterally and show channeliform geometries; the sands in subunit 5d are pebbly at the bottom where a large tabular volcanic clast (> 40 cm) is found. The sediments of Unit 5 were likely deposited in a vegetated backswamp area where fine-grained (clay and silt) deposits and sporadically distal crevasse splay sandy sediments (subunits 5b and 5d) also accumulated (crevasse channels or lobules) during flood events.

Unit 6: this unit comprises medium-to-coarse massive-to-faintly-laminated quartz sand - *Sm* facies (Miall, 1996). No sedimentary structures are visible. Its minimum thickness is laterally highly variable, from 20 cm to 50 cm. Orange and black stained areas linked to podsolization processes are found near the basal contacts. The mineralogy of the sands shows a monomictic quartz composition. The basal contact is not visible but it includes an abundant number of centimetre-scale quartz gravels and a thick basalt boulder. This unit is interpreted as representing an incised channel fill consisting of multiple sandy high-density flowing events. It is worth noting the evidence for post-sedimentary edaphic activity; the presence of orange staining suggests a high extent of podsolization.

Architectural elements

The architectural elements are characterized by a distinct facies assemblage, the classified based on the nature of bounding surfaces, external geometry, scale, lithology, internal geometry, and palaeocurrent patterns (Miall, 1985).

In the case of the studied sequence channel (CH) and overbank fines (FF) represent the two main architectural elements identified. Lithostratigraphical units 1, 2, 4 and 6 represent different types of channel infillings, the former and the latter are probably shallow laterallymigrating channels, and so could also be representing a lateral-accretion macroform (LA) unconformably deposited above Unit 2. Unit 2 corresponds to an incised channel infill consisting of multiple sediment gravity flows. The contrasting degree of podsolization of both units points to the presence of a sedimentary hiatus between them.

The lower lithostratigraphic units (3, 4 and 5) are interpreted as overbank fine (FF) architectural elements, deposited in floodplain environments. The observed lithofacies were deposited in crevasse splay and backswamp environments.

Although additional lateral information would be necessary, the vertical sequence ranging from thick overbank facies to shallow channel environments could suggest an aggradational stacking of progressively lower flow fluvial environments, where floodbasin sediments became less abundant and channel geometries evolved and became smaller in size.

Sedimentary environments and processes

The archaeologically-significative units 4 and 5 are interpreted as comprising backswamp sediments deposited in floodplain environments. A floodplain is the strip of land that borders a river channel, which is normally inundated during seasonal floods. Floodplains contain active and abandoned channels and bars (the channel belt), levees and crevasse channels and splays. Levees are discontinuous, wedge-shaped ridges around active and abandoned channels. Levees commonly have channels cut into their surfaces. The larger ones are termed crevasse channels (Unit 4) and split downslope into smaller distributaries surmounting fan- or lobe-shaped mounds of sediment called crevasse splays. Insofar as crevasse channels operate only during floods, they are ephemeral channels. Permanent marshes (Unit 5) may be present in wet climates.

Sediment is transported over floodplain as bed load and suspended load during overbank floods. The sources of sediment are the main and tributary channels, the valley sides and the floodplain itself. A large range of sediment sizes is commonly available, from mud to gravel. Although the grain size of sand on floodplain surfaces tends to decrease the further away one is from the active channel belt, mud usually accumulates as a more or less continuous blanket. Erosion occurs where flow is accelerated in locally narrow or topographically high floodplain sections and where vegetation cover is poor.

The basic sedimentation units in floodplains from Unit 5 are millimetre-to decimetre-thick stratasets deposited during overbank flooding events. Basal erosion surfaces are present if erosion preceded deposition. Grain sizes and internal structures depend on local flow conditions and sediment availability. The upper parts of these stratasets are commonly bioturbated with root casts. Layers of drifted vegetation are common in the overbank deposits of humid climates.

Flood-generated stratasets of crevasse splays are similar to those of levees, but channel-bar and channel-fill deposits as inferred for Unit 4 are common in crevasse splays, and these may be difficult to distinguish from the main channel deposits. The crevasse channel of Unit 4 at the site of Aranbaltza developed from the eroding floodplain marshy facies in Unit 5, resedimenting sediments and wood remains from Unit 5, including the archaeological remains described in this work.

References

Bridge, J.S. & Demicco, R.V. (2008). Earth Surface Processes, Landforms and Sediment Deposits. 815 pp., Cambridge, New York: Cambridge University Press.

Miall, A.D. (1985) Architectural element analysis: a new method of facies analysis applied to fluvial deposits. *Earth Science Reviews*, 22, 261-308.

Miall, A. (1996). The Geology of Fluvial Deposits. Sedimentary Facies, Basin Analysis, and Petroleum Geology. xvi + 582 pp. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokyo, Hong Kong: Springer-Verlag.

Sedimentary unit			Unit 1	Unit 2	Unit 3	Unit 4	Unit 5a	Unit 5b	Unit 6
Depth (cm)			90-160	160-250	150-280 Vertic	250-300	300-350	320-355	>310
Horizon (soil) (FAO)			Abic (Podzol)	Spodic (Pozol)	(Gleysol)	Spodic (Pozol)	Vertic (Gleysol)	Fluvisol	Spodic (Podzol)
Genetic interpretation			Fluvial channel	Fluvial channel	Backswamp	Crevasse splay	Crevasse channel	Backswamp	Fluvial channel
Colour (Munsell)			10R 5/1 10B	5R 5/8	7/1 5B	10YR 8/8	10YR 5/1	2.5Y 5/1	5R 5/8
Granulometry			Coarse sand	Sand	Clay	Sand	Silty Sand	Silty clay	Sand
Mineralogy (DRX)	Quartz	(%)	70.92	100	53.15	100	60.73	85.38	87.92
	Feldspar	(%)	17.96	-	11.47	-	-	4.85	3.41
	Clay minerals	(%)	9.12	-	35.38	-	39.28	7.16	8.88
Main elements (XRF)	SIO2	(%)	82.35	97.46	79.87	92.01	76.3	89.41	91.56
	AL2O3	(%)	6.9	0.893	13.64	3	16.73	5.08	5
	Fe2O3	(%)	3.33	0.428	1.23	0.301	1.57	1.53	0.76
	CaO	(%)	2.26	0.328	0.77	1.77	0.592	0.143	0.197
	MnO	(%)	1.47	0.0085	0.084	-	0.0041	-	0.0041
	K2O	(%)	0.942	0.156	1.43	0.249	1.95	0.586	0.624
	MgO	(%)	0.469	0.035	0.651	0.155	0.992	0.229	0.262
	TiO2	(%)	0.41	0.098	0.848	0.204	0.639	0.358	0.295
	Na2O	(%)	0.364	0.244	0.493	0.127	0.381	0.32	0.296
	SO3	(%)	0.0448	0.0166	0.0242	0.0257	0.106	0.823	0.146
	P2O5	(%)	0.0344	0.244	0.0258	1.24	0.0453	0.0182	-
	ZrO2	(%)	0.0188	0.0067	0.0368	0.0097	0.0266	0.0266	0.017

Table 1. Sedimentological, mineralogical and geochemical characteristics of the Aranbaltza III sequence.

S2. Lithic assemblages from the Aranbaltza III sequence Unit 1

The clast lags excavated in Unit 1 mainly comprise lithic artefacts, mixed together with natural flint and sandstone fragments (Table 1). Although altered by transport and atmospheric exposure, most of the assemblage is well preserved. In 2014, the whole of Unit 1 was excavated, whereas the following year three sub-units were differentiated. There are some minor differences between these sub-units, but the basic features of the three lithic assemblages remain very similar, so for this reason we have considered all the material from Unit 1 as a single assemblage. We are of course aware of the transported nature of some of this material, but there is no evidence of any significant heterogeneity. The sediment was dry-screened at the site so this could explain the relative low quantity of debris.

The assemblage comprises 901 artefacts (Figure 1). The huge majority of pieces are made on ultra-local (Flysch) flint, while other materials made on quartzite, trachyte or mudstone are anecdotal. Tested cores and fully exploited flake cores are very abundant. Among them, Levallois cores (recurrent centripetal, bidirectional) are the most representative (Figure 1: 1-2), followed by Discoid (Figure 1: 3) and Multipolar cores. Cortical flakes, complete and partial, are also very abundant, suggesting the introduction of little or no exploited blocks to the site. The presence of *outrepassé* flakes is also noteworthy. These flakes are linked to a particular development of the Levallois technique where cortical laterals are preserved and *outrepassé* flakes are obtained from them. Among the simple flakes, some display clear Levallois features, such as prepared platforms, straight profiles, low knapping angles, centripetal negatives and relatively low thicknesses (Figure 1: 4-8). Although a thorough techno-economic analysis needs to be undertaken to fully understand the nature of this assemblage, all of these data suggest the presence of a complete Levallois *chaîne opératoire* at the site entailing the acquisition of raw materials, full débitage and transformation of blanks into tools.

Retouched tools are quite abundant (Table 2). The most abundant type are side scrapers, most of which are not extensively re-sharpened (Figure 1: 4-6). Slightly retouched flakes and natural bases are also very abundant: Local flint often appears naturally in the shape of parallelepiped fragments (tectofragments), which are usually retouched to adapt the natural shapes of the pieces or to create new functional areas. Denticulates and notches (Figure 1: 9) are also quite abundant. The presence of becs and borers is noteworthy. Some borers are quite small, while some becs are quite large. Other tools appear less frequently; for example, backed knifes are quite atypical in the assemblage, although one of them could be classified as an Abri Audi knife. End-scrapers, burins, truncations and splintered pieces are also atypical and cannot be confused with true Upper Palaeolithic tools. There are also some flakes (Levallois flakes N=8; Levallois points N=1; Pseudolevallois points N=4), none of which are retouched. The presence of asymmetrical flakes with natural backs is also quite remarkable (N=16). Lastly, there are six pebbles, five of which are made on quartzite and one on mudstone. They all bear percussion marks and therefore can be classed as hammers. Three other small pebbles, one in sandstone and two in quartzite, also display percussion marks and can be interpreted as representing retouchers or striking platform preparers.

The features of the lithic assemblage recovered in Unit 1 of Aranbaltza III are very similar to those excavated at Aranbaltza I (Units D and E), and fit perfectly well with a Late Middle Palaeolithic open-air occupation scenario. It is difficult to compare the lithic assemblages obtained from open-air sites with the assemblages from nearby caves such as El Cuco (Gutierrez-Zugasti et al. 2017), or more distant ones like Axlor, where Flysch flint, obtained close to Aranbaltza, is quite abundant. Differences in function and raw material availability originate very different assemblages, albeit some technological features can be traced among them. At El Cuco the Late Middle Palaeolithic levels have a Levallois-based technology with a prevalent ramified microlith production. In Axlor, the lower levels have been poorly described, but also posses Levallois features (Rios-Garaizar 2012, 2017).

Table 1. Technological composition of Aranbaltza	III, Unit 1.
--	--------------

	Sandstone	Basalt Quartzite	Mudstone	Flint	Total
Tested cores				14	14
Flake cores		2		35	37
Levallois				21	
Discoid				7	
Multipolar				7	
Cortical flakes				33	33
Partially cortical flakes			1	109	110
Partially cortical blades				3	3
Outrepassé flakes				81	81
Overpassing flakes				13	13

Reflected flakes					4	4
Simple Flakes		1	2		79	82
Blades					10	10
Kombewa flakes					3	3
Resahrpening flakes					4	4
Splints					2	2
Irregular fragments					297	297
Fragments and debris <10 mm					179	179
Used natural bases	2		7	1	7	17
Flaked natural bases					12	12
Total	2	1	11	2	885	901

Table 2. Typological composition of Aranbaltza III, Unit 1.

Bordes List Type	Total
Splintered piece	6
Bec	15
Borer	4
Handaxe	1
Burin	1
Backed knife	4
Denticulate	18
Notch	6
Retouched flake and natural bases	21
Mousterian point	1
Side-scraper	22
End-scraper	4
Truncation	3
Raclette	1
Retouched tool fragment	2
Total Result	109



Figure 1. Lithic assemblage from Unit 1

Units 4-5

A single flake was recovered from Unit 4. It is a cortical flake with a distal non-modified concave and acute edge. It has unifacial macro-wear caused by transversal scraping or peeling (S2 Figure 2: 1).

In Unit 5 two cores and five flakes (S2 Figure 2: 2-4) were recovered, alongside several natural flint fragments, and sandstone and quartzite pebbles and fragments, probably manuports. The most interesting feature is the presence of a discoid core (S2 Figure 2: 2) and a discoid flake with use-wear traces of wood cutting (S2 Figure 2: 4), attesting to the use of discoid technology.



Figure 2. Lithic assemblages from Unit 4 (1), and 5 (2-4). The flake number 4 presents wood cutting wear traces.

References:

Gutiérrez-Zugasti, I., Rios-Garaizar, J., Marín-Arroyo, A.B., Rasines del Río, P., Maroto, J., Jones, J.R., et al. 2017. A chrono-cultural reassessment of the levels VI–XIV from El Cuco rock-shelter: A new sequence for the Late Middle Paleolithic in the Cantabrian region (northern Iberia). Quaternary International. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2017.06.059

Rios-Garaizar, J., 2012. Industria lítica y sociedad en la Transición del Paleolítico Medio al Superior en torno al Golfo de Bizkaia. PUbliCan - Ediciones de la Universidad de Cantabria, Santander.

Rios-Garaizar, J., 2017. A new chronological and technological synthesis for Late Middle Paleolithic of the Eastern Cantabrian Region. Quaternary International 433, 50–63. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2016.02.020

S3. OSL dating

Sample preparation

In 2015, at the archaeological site of Aranbaltza OSL samples were collected by hammering PVC tubes (5x20 cm) into the freshly cleaned profiles. The material at the ends of each tube (~3 cm deep) was removed under dark room conditions to prevent light contamination during sampling. The material not exposed to light was wet-sieved, treated with concentrated HCl and H_2O_2 to remove carbonate and organic matter, respectively. Two steps of heavy liquid separation at 2.62 g·cm⁻³ and 2.72 g·cm⁻³ were carried out to remove the feldspar fraction and heavy minerals, respectively (Aitken, 1985; 1998). A magnetic separator was used to remove magnetic minerals from the samples following the protocol described in Porat, 2006.

The quartz-rich fraction was then etched for 40 minutes using 48% HF to remove any remaining feldspars and etch away the outer alpha irradiated layer. Following etching, the quartz fraction was treated with concentrated HCl for 60 minutes to remove any possible precipitated fluorides. After that, the sample was treated with a sodium pyrophosphate solution in an ultrasonic bath during 30 minutes, and washed several times to remove micas from the quartz-rich fraction.

For this study, a total of four luminescence samples were collected from the Aranbaltza III section. All of them yielded a good amount of quartz-rich fraction (more than 1 g). For single grain measurements, the quartz grains were mounted on aluminum discs with 100 holes with a 0.3 mm diameter.

The environmental dose rate determination was performed using a field gamma spectrometer (Canberra InSpector 1000) equipped with a NaI(Tl) probe, except for sample AZ15OSL-03 where the dose rate was obtained by high resolution gamma spectrometry using a High Purity Germanium Detector (HPGD). This sample was ground and packed into a gamma cup ($\emptyset = 75$ mm) and stored for four weeks to allow ²²²Rn to build up equilibrium with ²²⁶Rn before the measurements. The concentration values obtained were converted to dry beta and gamma dose rates using the conversion factors given in Guérin et al. (2011). Water contents for age calculation were between 24.1 % and 26.1 % in all of the samples. An internal quartz dose rate of 0.02 Gy·ka⁻¹ (Vandenberghe et al., 2007) and a contribution from cosmic rays (Prescott and Hutton, 1994) were also incorporated into the total dose rate. The total environmental dose rates are listed in S3 Table 1.

Equipment and methods

Optical stimulation was carried out on a Risø TL/OSL Reader Model DA20 with a single grain attachment (green laser 532 nm; 10 mW). Luminescence was recorded using a photomultiplier tube (9235QB15), equipped with a 7mm Hoya-U340 filter. Samples were irradiated using a calibrated 90 Sr/ 90 Y source incorporated in the reader, with an effective dose rate of 0.11 ± 0.01 Gy·s⁻¹.

The natural OSL signals from the four samples listed in S3 Table 1 have been measured using the protocol given in S3 Table 2. In this work, we used the single aliquot regenerative (SAR) dose protocol adapted for single grain (Murray and Wintle, 2003; Wintle and Murray, 2006).

References

Aitken, M.J., 1985. Thermoluminescence Dating. Academic Press, London. 359 pp.

Aitken, M.J., 1998. An Introduction to optical dating: the dating of quaternary sediments by the use of photonstimulated luminescence. Oxford University Press, Oxford. 267 pp.

Duller, G.A.T., 2003. Distinguishing quartz and feldspar in single grain luminescence measurements. Radiation Measurements 37, 161-165.

Guérin, G., Mercier, N., Adamiec, C., 2011. Dose-rate conversion factors: update. Ancient TL 29, 5-8.

Murray, A.S., Wintle, A.G., 2003. The single aliquot regenerative dose protocol: potential for improvements in reliability. Radiation Measurements 37, 377-381.

Porat, N., 2006. Use of magnetic separation for purifying quartz for luminescence dating. Ancient TL, Vol. 24 No. 2, 33-36.

Prescott, J.R., Hutton, J.T., 1994. Cosmic ray contributions to dose rates for luminescence and ESR dating: large depths and long-term time variations. Radiation Measurements 23, 497-500.

Vandenberghe, D., De Corte, F., Buylaert, J.-P, Kučera, J., Van den haute, P., 2008. On the internal radioactivity in quartz. Radiation Measurements 43, 771 – 775.

Wintle, A.G., Murray, A.S., 2006. A review of quartz optically stimulated luminescence characteristics and their relevance in single-aliquot regeneration dating protocols. Radiation Measurements 41, 369 – 391.

Table 1 Summary of the OSL samples from the Aranbaltza archaeological site used inthis study, listing the sample names, location within the stratigraphic unit, depth of the sample from the surface, assumed life-time average water content (10% error), total environmental dose rate, measured natural dose (D_e), number of accepted data in each sample, Mean Age of the different probability density areas (showed in S3 Figure 1), and Minimum Age Model and data overdispersion.

Sample N ^o	Unit	Dosis (Gy·ka ⁻¹)	D _e (Gy)	Data (n)	Mean age (ka)	Minimum Age Model (ka)	Dispersion (%)
AZ15OLS- 06	Unit 1	0.55±0.09	45.8±2.6	45	82.6±9.1	52.8±6.7	33.0±0.7
AZ15OSL- 05	Unit 2	0.55±0.09	36.5±1.8	17	66.1±7.1	18 1 6 0	32.4±1.3
			63.5±10.3	4	115.0±21.6	40.4±0.9	
AZ15OSL- 04	Unit 4	0.58±0.09	41.9±2.1	9	71.9±7.5	70.0+8.4	33.3±1.2
			80.1±4.6	15	137.5±14.8	70.0±0.4	
AZ15OSL- 03	Unit 6	0.66±0.02	38.2±2.2	12	58.0±3.9	58 5+5 1	30.0±0.6
			75.0±3.0	33	113.8±6.0	J0.J±J.1	

S3 Table 2. Single-aliquot regenerative-dose protocol (SAR) measurement conditions used in this study. A series of regenerative-dose (L_i) and test dose (T_i) OSL measurements are performed on each individual quartz grain to obtain a sensitivity-corrected dose–response curve on to which the sensitivity-corrected natural (L_n/T_n) signal is interpolated to obtain a D_e value. In order to check for contamination of the quartz OSL by feldspar grain or inclusions, a repeat dose point is added (step 1b), which includes an infrared (IR) bleach performed for 20 s at 50°C prior to the main L_i measurement, as described in Duller (2003). Step 1a is omitted when measuring a natural signal. Step 1b is added only when measuring the OSL IR depletion ratio (Duller, 2003).

Step	
1a	Give dose (L _d)
1b	IRSL (50°C, 20 s)
2	Preheat (220°C, 10 s)
3	Single Grain OSL (125°C, 2 s) L _n or L _i
4	Test dose (10 Gy) T _d
5	Cutheat (200°C, 10 s)
6	Single Grain OSL (125°C, 2 s) T _n or T _i
7	TL bleaching (260°C, 40 s)



Figure 1. Probability density graphs of the different samples studied a) AZ15OSL-3, b) AZ15OSL-4, c) AZ15OSL-5 and d) AZ15OSL-6, and radial plots, e) AZ15OSL-3, f) AZ15OSL-4, g) AZ15OSL-5 and h) AZ15OSL-6. Dashed line

in a), b) and c) separate regions (Area 1 and Area 2) of different age characteristics. The grey and green shaded regions on the radial plots (e-g) are centered on the mean De values of Area 1 and Area 2 respectively.