



Driving archeological interpretation of geophysical data using 3D geomodelling

Paul Cupillard, Judith Sausse, Eva Despinoy, Thibault Fleury, Joseph Dogui,
Raymond Éguin, Romain Baville, Louise Houbre

► To cite this version:

Paul Cupillard, Judith Sausse, Eva Despinoy, Thibault Fleury, Joseph Dogui, et al.. Driving archeological interpretation of geophysical data using 3D geomodelling. Inrap; UMR CITERES-LAT. Lire le sol en archéologie : pratiques de terrain et regards croisés / Reading the soil in archaeology: field practice and interdisciplinary perspectives, Nov 2023, Tours, France. , 2023, 10.34692/dhmx-5428 . hal-04438003

HAL Id: hal-04438003

<https://inrap.hal.science/hal-04438003>

Submitted on 5 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0
International License

Paul Cupillard, Judith Sausse, Eva Despinoy, Thibault Fleury, Joseph Dogui, Raymond Éguin, Romain Baviile, Louise Houbre (École Nationale Supérieure de Géologie)

Geophysical prospection methods make it possible for archaeologists to investigate sites in a non-destructive way. They provide images of physical properties of the underground over large areas. Interpretation of such images can reveal archaeological and sedimentological structures, which helps in better understanding a site and/or estimating the potential of it prior to excavation. However, interpretation can be ambiguous due to i) the uncertainty derived from the imaging process, ii) the limited resolution of the obtained images and iii) the sparsity of the observations. In this work, we show how geomodelling tools can guide the interpretation of geophysical data.

Archaeological questions

Gombervaux case study, South Meuse, France (e.g. Baudoin, 1993)

Courtesy of Association Gombervaux

Drawing by Edmond Richardin (1906)

from dowsing rods

2. Barbican?
3. Courtyard pavement?
4. Tower?
5. Main buildings?
6. Curtain walls?
7. Collapsed tower
8. Towers
9. Main building
10. Tower-porch

10 m

Modified from Giuliani (2009)

Geophysical data acquisition & processing

Computing attributes on GPR sections to

- Estimate backfill thickness over the new features
- Clearly define lateral borders

Geomodelling

Science is what we understand well enough to explain to a computer (D. Knuth).

- Visualizing results within a single and consistent geographical system
- Recognition of both isolated and extended features
- Picking boundaries of these features
- Construction of interfaces and bodies

Qualitative interpretation

Quantitative interpretation

virtuous circle

➤ Only two materials within the sections (i.e., backfill and substratum) or several materials in the shallow, resistive part (backfill, preserved walls and foundations, collapsed walls, etc.)?

➤ Does the backfill continue westward or does it become suddenly thinner?

➤ What is/are the material(s) between the walls?

➤ A single, sub-horizontal interface between the resistive and the conductive regions, or several sub-horizontal and sub-vertical interfaces?

➤ What is the topological relation between the interfaces (i.e., who is cutting who)?

Once [...] units are geometrically modelled, it is possible [...] to handle them in various ways (zoom, rotation, translation), to perform on them 3D spatial analysis such as volumetric calculus [...], to generate sections anywhere in the 3D model... (Losier et al., 2007)

In the past, geomodelling helped archaeological studies to analyze the earth topography (e.g., Verhoeven, 2017) and excavation units (e.g., Losier et al., 2007). In the present work, we illustrated how geomodelling can also help in interpreting geophysical images. When building boundaries between heterogeneities identified on the images, spatial consistency and extent of archaeological or geological bodies arise. Most of all, new questions emerge, leading to a virtuous circle of more relevant geophysical acquisitions and processing, and more precise and quantitative interpretation.